Spring Security Tutorial

Spring Security Tutorial provides basic and advanced concepts of Spring Security. Our Spring Security Tutorial is designed for beginners and professionals both.

Our Spring Security Tutorial includes all topics of Spring Security such as spring security introduction, features, project modules, xml example, java example, login logout, spring boot etc.

Prerequisite

To learn Spring Security, you must have the basic knowledge of HTML and CSS

# Introduction

Spring Security is a framework which provides various security features like: authentication, authorization to create secure Java Enterprise Applications.

It is a sub-project of Spring framework which was started in 2003 by Ben Alex. Later on, in 2004, It was released under the Apache License as Spring Security 2.0.0.

It overcomes all the problems that come during creating non spring security applications and manage new server environment for the application.

This framework targets two major areas of application are authentication and authorization. Authentication is the process of knowing and identifying the user that wants to access.

**Authorization** is the process to allow authority to perform actions in the application.

We can apply authorization to authorize web request, methods and access to individual domain.

Technologies that support Spring Security Integration

Spring Security framework supports wide range of authentication models. These models either provided by third parties or framework itself. Spring Security supports integration with all of these technologies.

* HTTP BASIC authentication headers
* HTTP Digest authentication headers
* HTTP X.509 client certificate exchange
* LDAP (Lighweight Directory Access Protocol)
* Form-based authentication
* OpenID authentication
* Automatic remember-me authentication
* Kerberos
* JOSSO (Java Open Source Single Sign-On)
* AppFuse
* AndroMDA
* Mule ESB
* DWR(Direct Web Request)

The beauty of this framework is its flexible authentication nature to integrate with any software solution. Sometimes, developers want to integrate it with a legacy system that does not follow any security standard, there Spring Security works nicely.

## Advantages

Spring Security has numerous advantages. Some of that are given below.

* Comprehensive support for authentication and authorization.
* Protection against common tasks
* Servlet API integration
* Integration with Spring MVC
* Portability
* CSRF protection
* Java Configuration support

## Spring Security History

In late 2003, a project **Acegi Security System for Spring** started with the intention to develop a Spring-based security system. So, a simple security system was implemented but not released officially. Developers used that code internally for their solutions and by 2004 about 20 developers were using that.

Initially, authentication module was not part of the project, around a year after, module was added and complete project was reconfigure to support more technologies.

After some time this project became a subproject of Spring framework and released as 1.0.0 in 2006.

in 2007, project is renamed to Spring Security and widely accepted. Currently, it is recognized and supported by developers open community world wide.

# Spring Security Features

* LDAP (Lightweight Directory Access Protocol)
* Single sign-on
* JAAS (Java Authentication and Authorization Service) LoginModule
* Basic Access Authentication
* Digest Access Authentication
* Remember-me
* Web Form Authentication
* Authorization
* Software Localization
* HTTP Authorization

### **LDAP (Lightweight Directory Access Protocol)**

It is an open application protocol for maintaining and accessing distributed directory information services over an Internet Protocol.

### **Single sign-on**

This feature allows a user to access multiple applications with the help of single account(user name and password).

### **JAAS (Java Authentication and Authorization Service) LoginModule**

It is a Pluggable Authentication Module implemented in Java. Spring Security supports it for its authentication process.

### **Basic Access Authentication**

Spring Security supports Basic Access Authentication that is used to provide user name and password while making request over the network.

### **Digest Access Authentication**

This feature allows us to make authentication process more secure than Basic Access Authentication. It asks to the browser to confirm the identity of the user before sending sensitive data over the network.

### **Remember-me**

Spring Security supports this feature with the help of HTTP Cookies. It remember to the user and avoid login again from the same machine until the user logout.

### **Web Form Authentication**

In this process, web form collect and authenticate user credentials from the web browser. Spring Security supports it while we want to implement web form authentication.

### **Authorization**

Spring Security provides the this feature to authorize the user before accessing resources. It allows developers to define access policies against the resources.

### **Software Localization**

This feature allows us to make application user interface in any language.

### **HTTP Authorization**

Spring provides this feature for HTTP authorization of web request URLs using Apache Ant paths or regular expressions.

## Features added in Spring Security 5.0

### **OAuth 2.0 Login**

This feature provides the facility to the user to login into the application by using their existing account at GitHub or Google. This feature is implemented by using the Authorization Code Grant that is specified in the OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework.

### **Reactive Support**

From version Spring Security 5.0, it provides reactive programming and reactive web runtime support and even, we can integrate with Spring WebFlux.

### **Modernized Password Encoding**

Spring Security 5.0 introduced new Password encoder **DelegatingPasswordEncoder** which is more modernize and solve all the problems of previous encoder **NoOpPasswordEncoder**.

Spring Project Modules

In Spring Security 3.0, the Security module is divided into separate jar files. The purpose was to divide jar files based on their functionalities, so, the developer can integrate according to their requirement.

It also helps to set required dependency into pom.xml file of maven project.

The following are the jar files that are included into Spring Security module.

* spring-security-core.jar
* spring-security-remoting.jar
* spring-security-web.jar
* spring-security-config.jar
* spring-security-ldap.jar
* spring-security-oauth2-core.jar
* spring-security-oauth2-client.jar
* spring-security-oauth2-jose.jar
* spring-security-acl.jar
* spring-security-cas.jar
* spring-security-openid.jar
* spring-security-test.jar

Core - spring-security-core.jar

This is core jar file and required for every application that wants to use Spring Security. This jar file includes core access-control and core authentication classes and interfaces. We can use it in standalone applications or remote clients applications.

It contains top level packages:

* org.springframework.security.core
* org.springframework.security.access
* org.springframework.security.authentication
* org.springframework.security.provisioning

Remoting - spring-security-remoting.jar

This jar is used to integrate security feature into the Spring remote application. We don't need it until or unless we are creating remote application. All the classes and interfaces are located into **org.springframework.security.remoting** package.

Web - spring-security-web.jar

This jar is useful for Spring Security web authentication and URL-based access control. It includes filters and web-security infrastructure.

All the classes and interfaces are located into the **org.springframework.security.web** package.

Config - spring-security-config.jar

This jar file is required for Spring Security configuration using XML and Java both. It includes Java configuration code and security namespace parsing code. All the classes and interfaces are stored in **org.springframework.security.config** package.

LDAP - spring-security-ldap.jar

This jar file is required only if we want to use LDAP (Lighweight Directory Access Protocol). It includes authentication and provisioning code. All the classes and interfaces are stored into **org.springframework.security.ldap** package.

OAuth 2.0 Core - spring-security-oauth2-core.jar

This jar is required to integrate Oauth 2.0 Authorization Framework and OpenID Connect Core 1.0 into the application. This jar file includes the core classes for OAuth 2.0 and classes are stored into the **org.springframework.security.oauth2.core** package.

OAuth 2.0 Client - spring-security-oauth2-client.jar

This jar file is required to get client support for OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework and OpenID Connect Core 1.0. This module provides OAuth login and OpenID client support. All the classes and interfaces are available from **org.springframework.security.oauth2.client** package.

OAuth 2.0 JOSE - spring-security-oauth2-jose.jar

It provides Spring Security's support for the JOSE (Javascript Object Signing and Encryption) framework. The JOSE framework provides methods to establish secure connection between clients. It contains following collection of specifications:

* JWT (JSON Web Token)
* JWS (JSON Web Signature)
* JWE (JSON Web Encryption)
* JWK (JSON Web Key)

All the classes and interfaces are available into these two packages:

**org.springframework.security.oauth2.jwt** and **org.springframework.security.oauth2.jose.**

ACL - spring-security-acl.jar

This jar is used to apply security to domain object in the application. We can access classes and code from the **org.springframework.security.acls** package.

CAS - spring-security-cas.jar

It is required for Spring Security?s CAS client integration. We can use it to integrate Spring Security web authentication with CAS single sign-on server. The source code is located into **org.springframework.security.cas** package.

OpenID - spring-security-openid.jar

This jar is used for OpenID web authentication support. We can use it to authenticate users against an external OpenID server. It requires OpenID4Java and top level package is **org.springframework.security.openid**.

Test - spring-security-test.jar

This jar provides support for testing Spring Security application.

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. **Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)**

Before we discuss how Spring Security can protect applications from CSRF attacks, we will explain what a CSRF attack is. Let’s take a look at a concrete example to get a better understanding.

Assume that your bank’s website provides a form that allows transferring money from the currently logged in user to another bank account. For example, the HTTP request might look like:

POST /transfer HTTP/1.1

Host: bank.example.com

Cookie: JSESSIONID=randomid; Domain=bank.example.com; Secure; HttpOnly

Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded

amount=100.00&routingNumber=1234&account=9876

Now pretend you authenticate to your bank’s website and then, without logging out, visit an evil website. The evil website contains an HTML page with the following form:

<form action="https://bank.example.com/transfer" method="post">

<input type="hidden"

name="amount"

value="100.00"/>

<input type="hidden"

name="routingNumber"

value="evilsRoutingNumber"/>

<input type="hidden"

name="account"

value="evilsAccountNumber"/>

<input type="submit"

value="Win Money!"/>

</form>

You like to win money, so you click on the submit button. In the process, you have unintentionally transferred $100 to a malicious user. This happens because, while the evil website cannot see your cookies, the cookies associated with your bank are still sent along with the request.

Worst yet, this whole process could have been automated using JavaScript. This means you didn’t even need to click on the button. So how do we protect ourselves from such attacks?

**1.2 Synchronizer Token Pattern**

The issue is that the HTTP request from the bank’s website and the request from the evil website are exactly the same. This means there is no way to reject requests coming from the evil website and allow requests coming from the bank’s website. To protect against CSRF attacks we need to ensure there is something in the request that the evil site is unable to provide.

One solution is to use the [Synchronizer Token Pattern](https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Cross-Site_Request_Forgery_(CSRF)_Prevention_Cheat_Sheet#General_Recommendation:_Synchronizer_Token_Pattern). This solution is to ensure that each request requires, in addition to our session cookie, a randomly generated token as an HTTP parameter. When a request is submitted, the server must look up the expected value for the parameter and compare it against the actual value in the request. If the values do not match, the request should fail.

We can relax the expectations to only require the token for each HTTP request that updates state. This can be safely done since the same origin policy ensures the evil site cannot read the response. Additionally, we do not want to include the random token in HTTP GET as this can cause the tokens to be leaked.

Let’s take a look at how our example would change. Assume the randomly generated token is present in an HTTP parameter named \_csrf. For example, the request to transfer money would look like this:

POST /transfer HTTP/1.1

Host: bank.example.com

Cookie: JSESSIONID=randomid; Domain=bank.example.com; Secure; HttpOnly

Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded

amount=100.00&routingNumber=1234&account=9876&\_csrf=<secure-random>

You will notice that we added the \_csrf parameter with a random value. Now the evil website will not be able to guess the correct value for the \_csrf parameter (which must be explicitly provided on the evil website) and the transfer will fail when the server compares the actual token to the expected token.

**1.3 When to use CSRF protection**

When should you use CSRF protection? Our recommendation is to use CSRF protection for any request that could be processed by a browser by normal users. If you are only creating a service that is used by non-browser clients, you will likely want to disable CSRF protection.

**1.3.1 CSRF protection and JSON**

A common question is "do I need to protect JSON requests made by javascript?" The short answer is, it depends. However, you must be very careful as there are CSRF exploits that can impact JSON requests. For example, a malicious user can create a [CSRF with JSON using the following form](http://blog.opensecurityresearch.com/2012/02/json-csrf-with-parameter-padding.html):

<form action="https://bank.example.com/transfer" method="post" enctype="text/plain">

<input name='{"amount":100,"routingNumber":"evilsRoutingNumber","account":"evilsAccountNumber", "ignore\_me":"' value='test"}' type='hidden'>

<input type="submit"

value="Win Money!"/>

</form>

This will produce the following JSON structure

{ "amount": 100,

"routingNumber": "evilsRoutingNumber",

"account": "evilsAccountNumber",

"ignore\_me": "=test"

}

If an application were not validating the Content-Type, then it would be exposed to this exploit. Depending on the setup, a Spring MVC application that validates the Content-Type could still be exploited by updating the URL suffix to end with ".json" as shown below:

<form action="https://bank.example.com/transfer.json" method="post" enctype="text/plain">

<input name='{"amount":100,"routingNumber":"evilsRoutingNumber","account":"evilsAccountNumber", "ignore\_me":"' value='test"}' type='hidden'>

<input type="submit"

value="Win Money!"/>

</form>

**1.3.2 CSRF and Stateless Browser Applications**

What if my application is stateless? That doesn’t necessarily mean you are protected. In fact, if a user does not need to perform any actions in the web browser for a given request, they are likely still vulnerable to CSRF attacks.

For example, consider an application uses a custom cookie that contains all the state within it for authentication instead of the JSESSIONID. When the CSRF attack is made the custom cookie will be sent with the request in the same manner that the JSESSIONID cookie was sent in our previous example.

Users using basic authentication are also vulnerable to CSRF attacks since the browser will automatically include the username password in any requests in the same manner that the JSESSIONID cookie was sent in our previous example.

**1.4 Using Spring Security CSRF Protection**

So what are the steps necessary to use Spring Security’s to protect our site against CSRF attacks? The steps to using Spring Security’s CSRF protection are outlined below:

* [Use proper HTTP verbs](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html#csrf-use-proper-verbs)
* [Configure CSRF Protection](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html#csrf-configure)
* [Include the CSRF Token](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html#csrf-include-csrf-token)

**1.4.1 Use proper HTTP verbs**

The first step to protecting against CSRF attacks is to ensure your website uses proper HTTP verbs. Specifically, before Spring Security’s CSRF support can be of use, you need to be certain that your application is using PATCH, POST, PUT, and/or DELETE for anything that modifies state.

This is not a limitation of Spring Security’s support, but instead a general requirement for proper CSRF prevention. The reason is that including private information in an HTTP GET can cause the information to be leaked. See [RFC 2616 Section 15.1.3 Encoding Sensitive Information in URI’s](https://www.w3.org/Protocols/rfc2616/rfc2616-sec15.html#sec15.1.3) for general guidance on using POST instead of GET for sensitive information.

**1.4.2 Configure CSRF Protection**

The next step is to include Spring Security’s CSRF protection within your application. Some frameworks handle invalid CSRF tokens by invaliding the user’s session, but this causes [its own problems](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html#csrf-logout). Instead by default Spring Security’s CSRF protection will produce an HTTP 403 access denied. This can be customized by configuring the [AccessDeniedHandler](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/core-web-filters.html" \l "access-denied-handler" \o "15.2.2 AccessDeniedHandler) to process InvalidCsrfTokenException differently.

As of Spring Security 4.0, CSRF protection is enabled by default with XML configuration. If you would like to disable CSRF protection, the corresponding XML configuration can be seen below.

<http>

*<!-- ... -->*

<csrf disabled="true"/>

</http>

CSRF protection is enabled by default with Java Configuration. If you would like to disable CSRF, the corresponding Java configuration can be seen below. Refer to the Javadoc of csrf() for additional customizations in how CSRF protection is configured.

*@EnableWebSecurity*

**public** **class** WebSecurityConfig **extends**

WebSecurityConfigurerAdapter {

*@Override*

**protected** **void** configure(HttpSecurity http) **throws** Exception {

http

.csrf().disable();

}

}

**1.4.3 Include the CSRF Token**

**Form Submissions**

The last step is to ensure that you include the CSRF token in all PATCH, POST, PUT, and DELETE methods. One way to approach this is to use the \_csrf request attribute to obtain the current CsrfToken. An example of doing this with a JSP is shown below:

<c:url var="logoutUrl" value="/logout"/>

<form action="${logoutUrl}"

method="post">

<input type="submit"

value="Log out" />

<input type="hidden"

name="${\_csrf.parameterName}"

value="${\_csrf.token}"/>

</form>

An easier approach is to use [the csrfInput tag](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/taglibs.html#the-csrfinput-tag) from the Spring Security JSP tag library.

|  |
| --- |
| [Note] |
| If you are using Spring MVC <form:form> tag or [Thymeleaf 2.1+](https://www.thymeleaf.org/whatsnew21.html" \l "reqdata" \t "_top) and are using @EnableWebSecurity, the CsrfToken is automatically included for you (using the CsrfRequestDataValueProcessor). |

**Ajax and JSON Requests**

If you are using JSON, then it is not possible to submit the CSRF token within an HTTP parameter. Instead you can submit the token within a HTTP header. A typical pattern would be to include the CSRF token within your meta tags. An example with a JSP is shown below:

<html>

<head>

<meta name="\_csrf" content="${\_csrf.token}"/>

*<!-- default header name is X-CSRF-TOKEN -->*

<meta name="\_csrf\_header" content="${\_csrf.headerName}"/>

*<!-- ... -->*

</head>

*<!-- ... -->*

Instead of manually creating the meta tags, you can use the simpler [csrfMetaTags tag](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/taglibs.html" \l "the-csrfmetatags-tag" \o "32.6 The csrfMetaTags Tag) from the Spring Security JSP tag library.

You can then include the token within all your Ajax requests. If you were using jQuery, this could be done with the following:

$(**function** () {

**var** token = $("meta[name='\_csrf']").attr("content");

**var** header = $("meta[name='\_csrf\_header']").attr("content");

$(document).ajaxSend(**function**(e, xhr, options) {

xhr.setRequestHeader(header, token);

});

});

As an alternative to jQuery, we recommend using [cujoJS’s](https://github.com/cujojs" \t "_top) rest.js. The [rest.js](https://github.com/cujojs/rest) module provides advanced support for working with HTTP requests and responses in RESTful ways. A core capability is the ability to contextualize the HTTP client adding behavior as needed by chaining interceptors on to the client.

**var** client = rest.chain(csrf, {

token: $("meta[name='\_csrf']").attr("content"),

name: $("meta[name='\_csrf\_header']").attr("content")

});

The configured client can be shared with any component of the application that needs to make a request to the CSRF protected resource. One significant difference between rest.js and jQuery is that only requests made with the configured client will contain the CSRF token, vs jQuery where *all* requests will include the token. The ability to scope which requests receive the token helps guard against leaking the CSRF token to a third party. Please refer to the [rest.js reference documentation](https://github.com/cujojs/rest/tree/master/docs) for more information on rest.js.

**CookieCsrfTokenRepository**

There can be cases where users will want to persist the CsrfToken in a cookie. By default the CookieCsrfTokenRepository will write to a cookie named XSRF-TOKEN and read it from a header named X-XSRF-TOKEN or the HTTP parameter \_csrf. These defaults come from [AngularJS](https://docs.angularjs.org/api/ng/service/$http#cross-site-request-forgery-xsrf-protection)

You can configure CookieCsrfTokenRepository in XML using the following:

<http>

*<!-- ... -->*

<csrf token-repository-ref="tokenRepository"/>

</http>

<b:bean id="tokenRepository"

class="org.springframework.security.web.csrf.CookieCsrfTokenRepository"

p:cookieHttpOnly="false"/>

|  |
| --- |
| [Note] |
| The sample explicitly sets cookieHttpOnly=false. This is necessary to allow JavaScript (i.e. AngularJS) to read it. If you do not need the ability to read the cookie with JavaScript directly, it is recommended to omit cookieHttpOnly=false to improve security. |

You can configure CookieCsrfTokenRepository in Java Configuration using:

*@EnableWebSecurity*

**public** **class** WebSecurityConfig **extends**

WebSecurityConfigurerAdapter {

*@Override*

**protected** **void** configure(HttpSecurity http) **throws** Exception {

http

.csrf()

.csrfTokenRepository(CookieCsrfTokenRepository.withHttpOnlyFalse());

}

}

|  |
| --- |
| [Note] |
| The sample explicitly sets cookieHttpOnly=false. This is necessary to allow JavaScript (i.e. AngularJS) to read it. If you do not need the ability to read the cookie with JavaScript directly, it is recommended to omit cookieHttpOnly=false (by using new CookieCsrfTokenRepository() instead) to improve security. |

**19.5 CSRF Caveats**

There are a few caveats when implementing CSRF.

**19.5.1 Timeouts**

One issue is that the expected CSRF token is stored in the HttpSession, so as soon as the HttpSession expires your configured AccessDeniedHandler will receive a InvalidCsrfTokenException. If you are using the default AccessDeniedHandler, the browser will get an HTTP 403 and display a poor error message.

|  |
| --- |
| [Note] |
| One might ask why the expected CsrfToken isn’t stored in a cookie by default. This is because there are known exploits in which headers (i.e. specify the cookies) can be set by another domain. This is the same reason Ruby on Rails [no longer skips CSRF checks when the header X-Requested-With is present](https://weblog.rubyonrails.org/2011/2/8/csrf-protection-bypass-in-ruby-on-rails/). See [this webappsec.org thread](http://lists.webappsec.org/pipermail/websecurity_lists.webappsec.org/2011-February/007533.html) for details on how to perform the exploit. Another disadvantage is that by removing the state (i.e. the timeout) you lose the ability to forcibly terminate the token if it is compromised. |

A simple way to mitigate an active user experiencing a timeout is to have some JavaScript that lets the user know their session is about to expire. The user can click a button to continue and refresh the session.

Alternatively, specifying a custom AccessDeniedHandler allows you to process the InvalidCsrfTokenException any way you like. For an example of how to customize the AccessDeniedHandler refer to the provided links for both [xml](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/appendix-namespace.html#nsa-access-denied-handler) and [Java configuration](https://github.com/spring-projects/spring-security/blob/3.2.0.RC1/config/src/test/groovy/org/springframework/security/config/annotation/web/configurers/NamespaceHttpAccessDeniedHandlerTests.groovy#L64).

Finally, the application can be configured to use [CookieCsrfTokenRepository](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html" \l "csrf-cookie" \o "CookieCsrfTokenRepository) which will not expire. As previously mentioned, this is not as secure as using a session, but in many cases can be good enough.

**1.5.2 Logging In**

In order to protect against [forging log in requests](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross-site_request_forgery#Forging_login_requests) the log in form should be protected against CSRF attacks too. Since the CsrfToken is stored in HttpSession, this means an HttpSession will be created as soon as CsrfToken token attribute is accessed. While this sounds bad in a RESTful / stateless architecture the reality is that state is necessary to implement practical security. Without state, we have nothing we can do if a token is compromised. Practically speaking, the CSRF token is quite small in size and should have a negligible impact on our architecture.

A common technique to protect the log in form is by using a JavaScript function to obtain a valid CSRF token before the form submission. By doing this, there is no need to think about session timeouts (discussed in the previous section) because the session is created right before the form submission (assuming that [CookieCsrfTokenRepository](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html" \l "csrf-cookie" \o "CookieCsrfTokenRepository) isn’t configured instead), so the user can stay on the login page and submit the username/password when he wants. In order to achieve this, you can take advantage of the CsrfTokenArgumentResolver provided by Spring Security and expose an endpoint like it’s described on [here](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/mvc.html#mvc-csrf-resolver).

**1.5.3 Logging Out**

Adding CSRF will update the LogoutFilter to only use HTTP POST. This ensures that log out requires a CSRF token and that a malicious user cannot forcibly log out your users.

One approach is to use a form for log out. If you really want a link, you can use JavaScript to have the link perform a POST (i.e. maybe on a hidden form). For browsers with JavaScript that is disabled, you can optionally have the link take the user to a log out confirmation page that will perform the POST.

If you really want to use HTTP GET with logout you can do so, but remember this is generally not recommended. For example, the following Java Configuration will perform logout with the URL /logout is requested with any HTTP method:

*@EnableWebSecurity*

**public** **class** WebSecurityConfig **extends**

WebSecurityConfigurerAdapter {

*@Override*

**protected** **void** configure(HttpSecurity http) **throws** Exception {

http

.logout()

.logoutRequestMatcher(**new** AntPathRequestMatcher("/logout"));

}

}

**1.5.4 Multipart (file upload)**

There are two options to using CSRF protection with multipart/form-data. Each option has its tradeoffs.

* [Placing MultipartFilter before Spring Security](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html#csrf-multipartfilter)
* [Include CSRF token in action](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/csrf.html#csrf-include-csrf-token-in-action)

|  |
| --- |
| [Note] |
| Before you integrate Spring Security’s CSRF protection with multipart file upload, ensure that you can upload without the CSRF protection first. More information about using multipart forms with Spring can be found within the [17.10 Spring’s multipart (file upload) support](https://docs.spring.io/spring/docs/3.2.x/spring-framework-reference/html/mvc.html#mvc-multipart) section of the Spring reference and the [MultipartFilter javadoc](https://docs.spring.io/spring/docs/3.2.x/javadoc-api/org/springframework/web/multipart/support/MultipartFilter.html" \t "_top). |

**Placing MultipartFilter before Spring Security**

The first option is to ensure that the MultipartFilter is specified before the Spring Security filter. Specifying the MultipartFilter before the Spring Security filter means that there is no authorization for invoking the MultipartFilter which means anyone can place temporary files on your server. However, only authorized users will be able to submit a File that is processed by your application. In general, this is the recommended approach because the temporary file upload should have a negligble impact on most servers.

To ensure MultipartFilter is specified before the Spring Security filter with java configuration, users can override beforeSpringSecurityFilterChain as shown below:

**public** **class** SecurityApplicationInitializer **extends** AbstractSecurityWebApplicationInitializer {

*@Override*

**protected** **void** beforeSpringSecurityFilterChain(ServletContext servletContext) {

insertFilters(servletContext, **new** MultipartFilter());

}

}

To ensure MultipartFilter is specified before the Spring Security filter with XML configuration, users can ensure the <filter-mapping> element of the MultipartFilter is placed before the springSecurityFilterChain within the web.xml as shown below:

<filter>

<filter-name>MultipartFilter</filter-name>

<filter-class>org.springframework.web.multipart.support.MultipartFilter</filter-class>

</filter>

<filter>

<filter-name>springSecurityFilterChain</filter-name>

<filter-class>org.springframework.web.filter.DelegatingFilterProxy</filter-class>

</filter>

<filter-mapping>

<filter-name>MultipartFilter</filter-name>

<url-pattern>/\*</url-pattern>

</filter-mapping>

<filter-mapping>

<filter-name>springSecurityFilterChain</filter-name>

<url-pattern>/\*</url-pattern>

</filter-mapping>

**Include CSRF token in action**

If allowing unauthorized users to upload temporariy files is not acceptable, an alternative is to place the MultipartFilter after the Spring Security filter and include the CSRF as a query parameter in the action attribute of the form. An example with a jsp is shown below

<form action="./upload?${\_csrf.parameterName}=${\_csrf.token}" method="post" enctype="multipart/form-data">

The disadvantage to this approach is that query parameters can be leaked. More genearlly, it is considered best practice to place sensitive data within the body or headers to ensure it is not leaked. Additional information can be found in [RFC 2616 Section 15.1.3 Encoding Sensitive Information in URI’s](https://www.w3.org/Protocols/rfc2616/rfc2616-sec15.html#sec15.1.3).

**1.5.5 HiddenHttpMethodFilter**

The HiddenHttpMethodFilter should be placed before the Spring Security filter. In general this is true, but it could have additional implications when protecting against CSRF attacks.

Note that the HiddenHttpMethodFilter only overrides the HTTP method on a POST, so this is actually unlikely to cause any real problems. However, it is still best practice to ensure it is placed before Spring Security’s filters.

**1.6 Overriding Defaults**

Spring Security’s goal is to provide defaults that protect your users from exploits. This does not mean that you are forced to accept all of its defaults.

For example, you can provide a custom CsrfTokenRepository to override the way in which the CsrfToken is stored.

You can also specify a custom RequestMatcher to determine which requests are protected by CSRF (i.e. perhaps you don’t care if log out is exploited). In short, if Spring Security’s CSRF protection doesn’t behave exactly as you want it, you are able to customize the behavior. Refer to the [Section 43.1.18, “<csrf>”](https://docs.spring.io/spring-security/site/docs/5.0.x/reference/html/appendix-namespace.html#nsa-csrf) documentation for details on how to make these customizations with XML and the CsrfConfigurer javadoc for details on how to make these customizations when using Java configuration.